

Shri Jasbir Singh,
S/o Sh. Harbans Singh,
Jalal Khera, P.O.Sular,
Distt. Patiala.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:

O/o DDPO,
Patiala.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2985 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is absent.
Shri Kamaljit Singh, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Patiala.

O R D E R

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 31.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Patiala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent has come present on behalf of the SPIO.

The respondent stated that in compliance to the Order dated 26.10.2021, the sought for information and the amount of compensation of Rs.2,000/- was provided by hand to the appellant on 17.11.2021 and a compliance report has been sent to the Commission.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.689 dated 17.11.2021 along with a copy of letter dated 29.10.2021, copy of letter dated 17.11.2021 and copy of duly signed receiving dated 17.11.2021 executed by the appellant.

I have gone through letter no.689 dated 17.11.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the sought for information and the amount of compensation of Rs.2,000/- was provided by hand to the appellant on 17.11.2021 by the concerned Panchayat Secretary, Shri Kamaljit Singh. I have also gone through the duly signed receiving dated 17.11.2021 executed by the appellant, Shri Jasbir Singh and he has submitted that he has received the sought for information and the amount of compensation and he is satisfied and also requested to close the case.

As the respondent has provided the sought for information and the amount of compensation of Rs.2,000/- to the appellant and the appellant has also submitted that he has received the same through his duly signed written submission, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 23.11.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Er. Arun Garg,
S/o Shri Sham Lal,
H. No.40-41, Central Town,
Village Dad, P.O. Lalton Kalan,
Distt. Ludhiana.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o SHO, Police Station,
Model Town,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3697 of 2021

Present:- Er. Arun Garg, appellant is absent.
SI Avneet Kaur, O/o SHO, Police Station, Model Town, Ludhiana is present.

O R D E R

Er. Arun Garg, appellant filed RTI application dated 10.06.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Model Town, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas SI Avneet Kaur, O/o SHO, Police Station, Model Town, Ludhiana is present.

The appellant though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission and submitted that no response has been received from the SPIO to the deficiencies.

On the other hand SI Avneet Kaur stated that complete information has already been sent to the appellant through registered post on 07.08.2021 and on 09.08.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of postal receipt dated 07.08.2021 and 09.08.2021 along with copy of Kalandra U/s 107/150 Cr.P.C. and other information.

As the respondent has already sent the information to the appellant through registered post on 07.08.2021 and 09.08.2021 and copy has also been sent to the Commission, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 23.11.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Subhash Dahuja, Advocate,
Chamber No.16, New
District Court Complex,
Fazilka.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka.

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2957 of 2021

Present:- Shri Subhash Dahuja (Advocate) is present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka.

ORDER

Shri Subhash Dahuja (Advocate), appellant filed RTI application dated 26.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is present today, whereas the respondent is absent.

The appellant stated that the PIO has sent the reply/information, but the same is not in line with the required information. The appellant further stated that he himself has mentioned the name of the school wrongly in the RTI application as Senior Secondary School, Village Kandhwala, Hazar Khan, Tehsil Abohar, whereas the actual name of the school is not as cited above, so, the PIO has provided him the reply/information accordingly.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the First Appellate Authority, O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka, which is a copy of letter dated 09.09.2021 along with copy of letter no. 84231 dated 09.03.2021 along with copies of information.

I have gone through letter dated 09.09.2021 and it is submitted by the First Appellate Authority that the sought for information has already been sent to the appellant vide letter no. 84231 dated 09.03.2021. I have also gone through the letter vide which information was sent to the appellant and it is submitted by the DEO (SE), Fazilka that no Principal in the name of Shri Madan Lal Goyal had worked in Govt. Senior Secondary School, Kandhwala, Hazar Khan.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 26.02.2021 and the appellant sought information regarding Shri Madan Lal Goyal, Principal, Govt. Senior Secondary School, Kandhwala, Hazar Khan. The DEO (SE), Fazilka has replied vide letter no. 84231 dated 09.03.2021 that no Principal in the name of Shri Madan Lal Goyal had worked in Govt. Senior Secondary School, Kandhwala, Hazar Khan.

So, the respondent has resolved the matter and sent the reply to the appellant as per his sought for information. Hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 23.11.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Tejwant Singh,
S/o Sh. Amar Singh,
R/o Village Bhasaur,
Tehsil Dhuri, Distt. Sangrur.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Director,
Rural Development & Panchayat Department, Pb.,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority:

O/o Director, Rural Development & Panchayat
Department, Pb., Mohali.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.:2959 of 2021

Present:- Shri Tejwant Singh, appellant is present.
Shri Baldev Singh, Sr. Asstt. is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Director,
Rural Development & Panchayat Department, Pb., Mohali.

ORDER

Shri Tejwant Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 07.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Director, Rural Development & Panchayat Department, Pb., Mohali.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today. The appellant stated that sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

On the other hand the respondent stated that the appellant sought information regarding action taken on his application dated 09.11.2020 and regarding this letter dated 08.11.2021 has been issued to the DDPO, Sangrur to take action against the illegal occupation of land involved in the conflict and take action under the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961 and accordingly send the report. The respondent further stated that a copy of the said letter has been sent to the appellant and to the Commission.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the Deputy Director, (LD) O/o Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab on 10.11.2021, diarized vide No.25309, which is a copy of letter no.4347-49 dated 08.11.2021 vide which the Deputy Director (LD) has issued directions to the DDPO, Sangrur to resolve the matter regarding the application dated 09.11.2020 filed by the appellant.

So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that whenever the report will be received from the DDPO, Sangrur in the office of the SPIO, then the same be sent to the appellant through registered post within 10 days and compliance be sent to the Commission.

Dated: 23.11.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Smt. Kiran Sharma,
W/o Late Shri Jagdish Sharma,
Street No. 42, Preet Nagar,
Shimlapuri,
Ludhiana-141003.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 288 of 2021

Present:- Smt. Kiran Sharma, complainant /applicant is absent.
ASI Tarsem Singh-1689/LDH is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Police
Commissioner, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Smt. Kiran Sharma, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 23.11.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The applicant is absent today, whereas ASI Tarsem Singh is present and he stated that as was directed vide order dated 28.10.2021 the point-wise information comprising of 17 pages has been sent to the applicant vide letter no. 834-35-D dated 29.10.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. 834-35-D dated 29.10.2021 along with copy of letter no.835-D dated 29.10.2021. I have gone through letter no. 835-D dated 29.10.2021 vide which point-wise information has been sent to the applicant.

As the SPIO has complied with the Order passed by the Commission and sent point-wise information comprising of 17 pages to the applicant through letter no. 835-D dated 29.10.2021, so the present complaint case is disposed of and closed with the observation that in case the applicant is not satisfied with the information sent by the SPIO, then she is at liberty to file first appeal before the First Appellate Authority within 30 days.

Dated: 23.11.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Mehar Chand, S/o ShriParkash Chand,
H.No. 128, Gali No. 3/3,
Green Avenue, BibiWala Road,
Bathinda.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director General of School (SE),
Branch Services 3, Punjab School Education Board,
Phase-8, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director General of School (SE),
Branch Services 3, Punjab School Education Board,
Phase-8, Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1921 of 2021

Present:- Shri Madan Lal is present on behalf of Shri Mehar Chand appellant.
Shri Jai Singh Rana, Sr. Asstt. is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Director
General of School (SE), Branch Services 3, PSEB, Mohali.

ORDER

Shri Mehar Chand, appellant filed RTI application dated 15.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Director General of School (SE), Branch Services 3, Punjab School Education Board, Mohali.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Shri Madan Lal is present on behalf of Shri Mehar Chand, appellant, whereas Shri Jai Rana, Sr. Asstt. is present on behalf of the SPIO.

The representative of the appellant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to the appellant by the SPIO till date.

On the other hand the respondent stated that the information has already been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter dated 17.11.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. 2021366930 dated 17.11.2021 vide which the sought for information has been sent to the appellant.

The appellant stated that he has not yet received the information sent by the SPIO.

The SPIO has sent the information to the appellant through registered post vide letter no. 2021366930 dated 17.11.2021, which would reach the appellant within 2/3 days. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 23.11.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Teenu, S/o Shri Tek Chand,
Village Churiwala Dhanna,
Tehsil Fazilka.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Khuhian Sarwar,
Tehsil Abohar, Distt. Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o BDPO, Khuhian Sarwar.
Tehsil Abohar, Distt. Fazilka.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 154 of 2021

Present:- Shri Teenu, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Khuhian Sarwar at Abohar.

O R D E R

Shri Teenu, appellant filed RTI application dated 29.09.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Khuhian Sarwar, Tehsil Abohar, Distt. Fazilka.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today. The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the BDPO, Khuhian Sarwar at Abohar, which is a copy of letter no.2758 dated 25.11.2021 along with a photocopy of postal receipt dated 07.06.2021.

I have gone through letter no.2758 dated 25.11.2021 and it is submitted by the BDPO, Khuhian Sarwar at Abohar that the concerned PIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Shri Pardeep Kumar has tried to contact the appellant, but could not succeed in the same, so the sought for information was sent to the appellant through registered post on 07.06.2021. He further requested that as the information was already sent to the appellant through registered post on 07.06.2021, so the amount of compensation of Rs.5,000/- awarded in favour of the appellant be waived off and assured the Commission that this will not happen in the future.

As per the submission of the BDPO, Khuhian Sarwar at Abohar that the concerned PIO has sent the sought for information to the appellant through registered post on 07.06.2021, so the amount of compensation of Rs.5,000/- awarded in favour of the appellant vide Order dated 09.11.2021 is hereby waived off.

The BDPO has also assured the Commission that this would not happen in future, so the Show Cause Notice issued to the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Khuhian Sarwar at Abohar is also hereby dropped and filed.

As the information has already been sent to the appellant through registered post on 07.06.2021 and a period of more than 5 months has been passed, but the appellant has not sent any mail to the Commission and neither he has attended the hearings before the Commission, it seems he is satisfied with the information sent by the PIO. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

Dated: 23.11.2021

State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Danish Aggarwal, S/o Shri Vikas Aggarwal,
R/o 692, IBRS Nagar,
Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Principal,
Govt. Sr. Sec. Smart School, Gujjarwal,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Principal,
Govt. Sr. Sec. Smart School, Gujjarwal,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2448 of 2021

Present:- Shri Danish Aggarwal, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of Shri Harminder Singh Manocha, SPIO-cum-Principal,
Govt. Sr. Sec. Smart School, Gujjarwal, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Danish Aggarwal, appellant filed RTI application dated 01.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Principal, Govt. Sr. Sec. Smart School, Gujjarwal, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

The SPIO though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission and submitted in the e-mail that the information comprising of 68 pages has been sent to the appellant, Shri Danish Aggarwal through registered post on 12.11.2021. He has also annexed the photocopy of postal receipts dated 12.11.2021.

As the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the sought for information comprising of 68 pages to the appellant through registered post on 12.11.2021, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 23.11.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

Shri Arun Garg (Er.), S/o Shri Sham Lal,
H.No. 40-41, Central Town,
Pakhawal Road, Village Dad,
Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer,
Ludhiana-1.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Distt. Dev. & Panchayat Officer,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1961 of 2021

Present:- Shri Arun Garg (Er.), appellant is absent.
Sh. Sarabjit Singh, Suptd. is present on behalf of Shri Dhanwant Singh
Randhawa, SPIO-cum-Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Ludhiana-1.

O R D E R

Shri Arun Garg (Er.), appellant filed RTI application dated 12.10.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Ludhiana-1.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that the information, specifically point-wise, was sent to the appellant through registered post on 08.10.2021.

The appellant though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission and submitted that incomplete information has been provided by the SPIO and he has pointed out the deficiencies to the notice of the respondent on 12.10.2021.

On the other hand the respondent stated that the information which was available in the official record has already been sent to the appellant vide letter no. 3340 dated 08.10.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. 3340 dated 08.10.2021 along with the copy of letter no. 4403 dated 18.11.2021. I have gone through letter no. 3340 dated 08.10.2021 vide which point-wise information/reply has been sent to the appellant.

I have gone through the information/reply sent by the appellant and also gone through the e-mail sent by the appellant. The appellant submitted that incorrect information regarding point nos. 4 to 7 has been provided by the PIO. On the other hand the PIO submitted in the letter that information regarding point nos. 4 to 7 is not available.

As the PIO has provided the information to the appellant as per official record, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 23.11.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Arjan Dev,
S/o Shri Malawa Ram,
R/o Village Dhadda, P.O. Hazara,
Distt. Jalandhar.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO,
Jalandhar (East).

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 633 of 2021

Present:- Shri Arjan Dev, complainant/applicant is present.
Shri Jagmohan Singh, APIO-cum-Superintendent is present on behalf of the
SPIO, O/o BDPO, Jalandhar (East).

O R D E R

Shri Arjan Dev, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 07.12.2020 before the SPIO, O/o ADC, Jalandhar, Rural Development Agency (NREGA/MGNREGA). The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Jalandhar (East) vide letter dated 17.12.2020.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that the information/reply specifically point-wise has been provided to the applicant by hand on 22.11.2021 vide letter no. 1747 dated 22.11.2021 and copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. 1754 dated 22.11.2021 along with copy of letter no. 1748 dated 22.11.2021. I have gone through letter no. 1748 dated 22.11.2021 vide which point-wise information/reply has been provided to the applicant and the applicant has made a duly signed noting on the said letter after receiving the information/reply.

The complainant/applicant stated that incomplete information has been provided to him by the SPIO.

The SPIO has provided point-wise information/reply to the applicant by hand on 22.11.2021, so no complaint lies against the SPIO. Hence the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that in case the applicant is not satisfied with the information/reply provided by the SPIO then he is at liberty to file first appeal before the First Appellate Authority within 30 days.

Dated: 23.11.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Arjan Dev,
S/o Shri Malawa Ram,
R/o Village Dhadda, P.O. Hazara,
Distt. Jalandhar.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO,
Jalandhar (East).

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 634 of 2021

Present:- Shri Arjan Dev, complainant/applicant is present.
Shri Jagmohan Singh, APIO-cum-Superintendent is present on behalf of the
SPIO, O/o BDPO, Jalandhar (East).

O R D E R

Shri Arjan Dev, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 07.12.2020 before the SPIO, O/o ADC, Jalandhar, Rural Development Agency (NREGA/MGNREGA). The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Jalandhar (East) vide letter dated 17.12.2020.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that the information/reply specifically point-wise has been provided to the applicant by hand on 22.11.2021 vide letter no. 1744 dated 22.11.2021 and copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. 1754 dated 22.11.2021 along with copy of letter no. 1744 dated 22.11.2021. I have gone through letter no. 1744 dated 22.11.2021 vide which point-wise information/reply has been provided to the applicant and the applicant has made a duly signed noting on the said letter after receiving the information/reply.

The complainant/applicant stated that incomplete information has been provided to him by the SPIO.

The SPIO has provided point-wise information/reply to the applicant by hand on 22.11.2021, so no complaint lies against the SPIO. Hence the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that in case the applicant is not satisfied with the information/reply provided by the SPIO then he is at liberty to file first appeal before the First Appellate Authority within 30 days.

Dated: 23.11.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

(Regd.) Shri Rohit Sabharwal, President,
Kundan Bhawan, 126,
Model Gram. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Addl. Chief Secretary, Home,
Govt. of Punjab, Mini Sectt.,
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Addl. Chief Secretary, Home,
Govt. of Punjab, Mini Sectt.,
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3156 of 2020

Present:- Advocate Sukhjinder Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Rohit Sabharwal, Appellant.
Shri Gagandeep Singh, APIO-cum-Sr.Asstt., O/o Home-4 Br., Civil Sectt. Pb., Chandigarh is present.
Smt Nirlep Kaur, Sr. Asstt. O/o DGP, Pb. is present.
Inspector Amandeep Kaur, O/o Vigilance Bureau Punjab, Mohali is present.

ORDER

Shri Rohit Sabharwal, appellant filed RTI application dated 10.07.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Addl. Chief Secretary, Home, Govt. of PB. Chd.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Advocate Sukhjinder Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Rohit Sabharwal, Appellant. Shri Gagandeep Singh, APIO-cum-Sr.Asstt., O/o Home-4 Br., Civil Sectt. Pb., Chandigarh, Smt Nirlep Kaur, Sr. Asstt. O/o DGP, Pb. and Inspector Amandeep Kaur, O/o Vigilance Bureau Punjab, Mohali are also present.

Inspector Amandeep Kaur, O/o Vigilance Bureau Punjab, Mohali submitted a copy of letter no.36813 dated 23.11.2021 along with a duly attested affidavit dated 23.11.2021 filed by Shri Akashdeep Singh Aulakh, PPS, Joint Director, Crime-cum-SPIO, Vigilance Bureau, Punjab, Mohali, which is taken in the file of the Commission.

I have gone through letter no.36813 dated 23.11.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that in compliance to the Order dated 27.10.2021 a duly attested affidavit is submitted herewith. I have also gone through the contents of the affidavit and is submitted that the information sought by the appellant regarding corrupt police officers/officials is not readily available in the office, rather, it has to be created. It is further submitted that the information can be provided if any specific name of a person could be provided, but the information sought by the appellant is not specific.

As per the contents of the affidavit filed by Shri Akashdeep Singh Aulakh, PPS, Joint Director, Crime-cum-SPIO, Vigilance Bureau, Punjab, Mohali that the information sought by the appellant regarding corrupt police officers/officials is not readily available in the office, rather, it has to be created. It is further submitted that the information can be provided if any specific name of a person could be provided, but the information sought by the appellant is not specific.

The information sought by the appellant is not specific and creatable in nature, so the SPIO is unable to provide it to the appellant. Hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

A copy of duly attested affidavit be sent to the appellant through registered post along with the copy of Order of the Commission.

Dated: 23.11.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Encl : copy of affidavit.

Shri Tarlok Singh (Panch),
S/o Sh. Budha Mal,
R/o Village Araimajra, P.O, Mrori,
Tehsil Samana, Distt. Patiala.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o BDPO, Samana,
Distt. Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o DDPO,
Patiala.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3027 of 2021

Present:- Shri Tarlok Singh, appellant is absent.
Shri Sahib Singh, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
BDPO, Samana, Distt. Patiala.

ORDER

Shri Tarlok Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 24.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Samana, Distt. Patiala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that in compliance to the Order dated 27.10.2021 passed by the Commission the sought for information comprising of 275 pages has been provided by hand to the appellant on 10.11.2021 and he has executed a duly signed receiving after receiving the information..

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of duly signed receiving dated 10.11.2021 executed by the appellant, Shri Tarlok Singh. I have gone through the said duly signed receiving and it is submitted by the appellant that he has received 275 pages of information and he is satisfied with the information and also requested to close the case.

The SPIO has provided the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 23.11.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



(Regd.) Shri Anil Kumar Dhanda,
1095, Railway Road,
Jagraon, Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o SSP, Jagraon,
Distt. Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o SSP, Jagraon,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2038 of 2021

Present:- Shri Anil Kumar Dhanda, appellant is present.
SI Arshpreet Kaur Grewal, SHO, Police Station, City, Jagraon is present.
ASI Atma Singh is present, O/o Police Station, City, Jagraon.

O R D E R

Shri Anil Kumar Dhanda, appellant filed RTI application dated 27.10.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SSP, Jagraon, Distt. Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software

Both the parties are present today.

The appellant stated that no information has been provided to him by the SPIO.

On the other hand SI Arshpreet Kaur Grewal, SHO, Police Station, City, Jagraon stated that the appellant sought information regarding complaint no. 912-5DF dated 22.08.2014, which is an old record and is not traceable inspite of best efforts, so the sought for information cannot be provided to the appellant. She further stated that a duly attested affidavit dated 23.11.2021 has also been sent to the Commission.

I have gone through the contents of the duly attested affidavit dated 23.11.2021 filed by SI Arshpreet Kaur Grewal, SHO, Police Station, City, Jagraon and it is submitted by the SHO that the appellant sought information regarding complaint no. 912-5DF dated 22.08.2014 which is an old record and is not traceable inspite of best efforts, so the sought for information cannot be provided to the appellant.

As the information sought by the appellant is not traceable in the record of the Police Station, City, Jagraon and regarding this SHO, Police Station, City, Jagraon has also provided a duly attested affidavit, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

A copy of duly attested affidavit be sent to the appellant through registered post along with the copy of Order of the Commission.

Dated: 23.11.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

Encl: Copy of affidavit.